A diagrammatic calculus of syllogisms

Ruggero Pagnan

DISI, University of Genova ruggero.pagnan@disi.unige.it

A_{SP} : All S is P (universal affirmative)

\mathbf{E}_{SP} : No S is P (universal negative)

I_{SP} : Some S is P (particular affirmative)

\mathbf{O}_{SP} : Some S is not P (particular negative)

Syllogisms

A syllogism is a rule of inference $P_1, P_2 \vDash C$ where P_1, P_2 and C are categorical propositions.

Syllogisms

A syllogism is a rule of inference $P_1, P_2 \vDash C$ where P_1, P_2 and C are categorical propositions.

More precisely, in a syllogism appear exactly three terms S, P and M as follows:

- M must appear in both P_1 and P_2 but is not allowed to appear in C.
- S must appear in both P_2 and C, as the subject of the latter.
- P must appear in both P_1 and C, as the predicate of the latter.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (0) (0)

Syllogisms

A syllogism is a rule of inference $P_1, P_2 \vDash C$ where P_1, P_2 and C are categorical propositions.

More precisely, in a syllogism appear exactly three terms S, P and M as follows:

- M must appear in both P_1 and P_2 but is not allowed to appear in C.
- S must appear in both P_2 and C, as the subject of the latter.
- P must appear in both P_1 and C, as the predicate of the latter.

Example:

$$\mathbf{O}_{PM}, \mathbf{E}_{MS} \models \mathbf{I}_{SP}$$

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (0) (0)

Valid Syllogisms

$\mathbf{A}_{MP}, \mathbf{A}_{SM} \models \mathbf{A}_{SP}$	$\mathbf{E}_{PM}, \mathbf{A}_{SM} \vDash \mathbf{E}_{SP}$	$\mathbf{I}_{MP}, \mathbf{A}_{MS} \models \mathbf{I}_{SP}$	$\mathbf{A}_{PM}, \mathbf{E}_{MS} \models \mathbf{E}_{SP}$
$\mathbf{E}_{MP}, \mathbf{A}_{SM} \models \mathbf{E}_{SP}$	$\mathbf{A}_{PM}, \mathbf{E}_{SM} \models \mathbf{E}_{SP}$	$\mathbf{A}_{MP}, \mathbf{I}_{MS} \models \mathbf{I}_{SP}$	$I_{PM}, A_{MS} \models I_{SP}$
$\mathbf{A}_{MP}, \mathbf{I}_{SM} \models \mathbf{I}_{SP}$	$\mathbf{E}_{PM}, \mathbf{I}_{SM} \models \mathbf{O}_{SP}$	$\mathbf{O}_{MP}, \mathbf{A}_{MS} \models \mathbf{O}_{SP}$	$\mathbf{E}_{PM}, \mathbf{I}_{MS} \models \mathbf{O}_{SP}$
$\mathbf{E}_{\mathit{MP}},\mathbf{I}_{\mathit{SM}}\vDash\mathbf{O}_{\mathit{SP}}$	$\mathbf{A}_{PM}, \mathbf{O}_{SM} \models \mathbf{O}_{SP}$	$\mathbf{E}_{MP}, \mathbf{I}_{MS} \models \mathbf{O}_{SP}$	
$\mathbf{A}_{MP}, \mathbf{A}_{SM} \models \mathbf{I}_{SP}$	$\mathbf{A}_{PM}, \mathbf{E}_{SM} \models \mathbf{O}_{SP}$	$\mathbf{A}_{MP}, \mathbf{A}_{MS} \models \mathbf{I}_{SP}$	$\mathbf{A}_{PM}, \mathbf{E}_{MS} \models \mathbf{O}_{SP}$
$\mathbf{E}_{MP}, \mathbf{A}_{SM} \models \mathbf{O}_{SP}$	$\mathbf{E}_{PM}, \mathbf{A}_{SM} \models \mathbf{O}_{SP}$	$\mathbf{E}_{MP}, \mathbf{A}_{MS} \models \mathbf{O}_{SP}$	$\mathbf{E}_{PM}, \mathbf{A}_{MS} \models \mathbf{O}_{SP}$
			$\mathbf{A}_{PM}, \mathbf{A}_{MS} \vDash \mathbf{I}_{SP}$

The calculus



<ロ> < 四> < 回> < 三> < 三> < 三> 三 のへで

$\mathbf{A}_{MP}, \mathbf{A}_{SM} \models \mathbf{A}_{SP}$

 $\mathbf{A}_{MP}, \mathbf{A}_{SM} \models \mathbf{A}_{SP}$

$$S \xrightarrow{\mathbf{A}_{SM}} M \qquad M \xrightarrow{\mathbf{A}_{MP}} P$$

<ロ> < 四> < 四> < 回> < 三> < 三>

€ •)<@

 $\mathbf{A}_{MP}, \mathbf{A}_{SM} \models \mathbf{A}_{SP}$

$$S \xrightarrow{\mathbf{A}_{SM}} M \qquad M \xrightarrow{\mathbf{A}_{MP}} P$$

$$S \xrightarrow{\mathbf{A}_{SM}} M \xrightarrow{\mathbf{A}_{MP}} P$$

<ロ> < 四> < 四> < 四> < 三> < 三> < 三>

 $\mathbf{A}_{MP}, \mathbf{A}_{SM} \models \mathbf{A}_{SP}$

$$S \xrightarrow{\mathbf{A}_{SM}} M \qquad M \xrightarrow{\mathbf{A}_{MP}} P$$

$$S \xrightarrow{\mathbf{A}_{SM}} M \xrightarrow{\mathbf{A}_{MP}} P$$

$$S \xrightarrow{\mathbf{A}_{SP}} P$$

<ロ> < 四> < 四> < 四> < 三> < 三> < 三>

$\textbf{E}_{\textit{PM}}, \textbf{I}_{\textit{SM}} \vDash \textbf{O}_{\textit{SP}}$

 $\textbf{E}_{\textit{PM}}, \textbf{I}_{\textit{SM}} \vDash \textbf{O}_{\textit{SP}}$

$$S \xleftarrow{I_{SM}} M \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{E_{PM}} P$$

<ロ> < 四> < 四> < 回> < 三> < 三>

 $\mathbf{E}_{PM}, \mathbf{I}_{SM} \models \mathbf{O}_{SP}$

$$S \xleftarrow{I_{SM}} M \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{E_{PM}} P$$



<ロ> <日> <日> < => < => < => < = > < < のへで

 $\mathbf{E}_{PM}, \mathbf{I}_{SM} \models \mathbf{O}_{SP}$







<ロ> <日> <日> < => < => < => < = > < < のへで

$\mathbf{A}_{PM}, \mathbf{O}_{SM} \vDash \mathbf{O}_{SP}$

 $\mathbf{A}_{PM}, \mathbf{O}_{SM} \models \mathbf{O}_{SP}$



 $\mathbf{A}_{PM}, \mathbf{O}_{SM} \models \mathbf{O}_{SP}$





 $\mathbf{A}_{PM}, \mathbf{O}_{SM} \models \mathbf{O}_{SP}$



$\textbf{A}_{MP}, \textbf{A}_{MS} \vDash \textbf{I}_{SP}$

 $\mathbf{A}_{MP}, \mathbf{A}_{MS} \models \mathbf{I}_{SP}$

$$S \stackrel{\mathbf{A}_{MS}}{\longleftarrow} M \qquad M \stackrel{\mathbf{A}_{MP}}{\longrightarrow} P$$

<ロ> < 四> < 四> < 回> < 三> < 三>

€ •)<@

 $\mathbf{A}_{MP}, \mathbf{A}_{MS} \models \mathbf{I}_{SP}$

$$S \stackrel{\mathbf{A}_{MS}}{\longleftarrow} M \qquad M \stackrel{\mathbf{A}_{MP}}{\longrightarrow} P$$



<ロ> <日> <日> < => < => < => < = > < < のへで

 $\mathbf{A}_{MP}, \mathbf{A}_{MS} \models \mathbf{I}_{SP}$

$$S \stackrel{\mathbf{A}_{MS}}{\longleftarrow} M \qquad M \stackrel{\mathbf{A}_{MP}}{\longrightarrow} P$$





Terminology and notation

A *syllogistic inference* is any instance of the previous computation process.

Terminology and notation

A *syllogistic inference* is any instance of the previous computation process.

Syllogistic inferences will be henceforth represented by planar diagrams like





Sac

Recovering the valid syllogisms

Theorem

A syllogism is valid if and only if there is a (necessarily unique) syllogistic inference from its premisses to its conclusion.

Recovering the valid syllogisms

Theorem

A syllogism is valid if and only if there is a (necessarily unique) syllogistic inference from its premisses to its conclusion.

Sketch of proof: A syllogistic inference yields a diagram between A_{SP} , E_{SP} , I_{SP} , O_{SP} in exactly the following cases:

$S \to M \to P$	$S \leftarrow \bullet \rightarrow S \rightarrow M \rightarrow P$
$S \to ullet \leftarrow M \leftarrow P$	$S \leftarrow M \leftarrow \bullet \rightarrow M \rightarrow P$
$S \to M \to \bullet \leftarrow P$	$S \leftarrow M \leftarrow P \leftarrow \bullet \rightarrow P$
$S \leftarrow M \leftarrow \bullet \rightarrow P$	$S \leftarrow \bullet \rightarrow S \rightarrow M \rightarrow \bullet \leftarrow P$
$S \leftarrow \bullet \rightarrow M \rightarrow P$	$S \leftarrow \bullet \rightarrow S \rightarrow \bullet \leftarrow M \leftarrow P$
$S \leftarrow \bullet \rightarrow M \rightarrow \bullet \leftarrow P$	$S \leftarrow M \leftarrow \bullet \rightarrow M \rightarrow \bullet \leftarrow P$
$S \leftarrow M \leftarrow \bullet \rightarrow \bullet \leftarrow P$	$S \leftarrow \bullet \rightarrow \bullet \leftarrow M \leftarrow P$

(ロ) (月) (三) (三) (三) (0)

Some features of the calculus

- the calculus is algorithmic.

Some features of the calculus

- the calculus is algorithmic.
- the calculus allows the representation of the premisses of a syllogism in any order.

Example: \mathbf{E}_{MP} , $\mathbf{I}_{SM} \models \mathbf{O}_{SP}$



< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > -

Sac

Some features of the calculus

- the calculus is algorithmic.
- the calculus allows the representation of the premisses of a syllogism in any order.

Example: \mathbf{E}_{MP} , $\mathbf{I}_{SM} \models \mathbf{O}_{SP}$



Sac

- the calculus easily extends to *n*-term syllogisms.

Syllogistic inferences do not delete or create the bullet symbols.

Syllogistic inferences do not delete or create the bullet symbols.

Example: The syllogism O_{MP} , $E_{MS} \models E_{SP}$ is not valid.

Syllogistic inferences do not delete or create the bullet symbols.

Example: The syllogism O_{MP} , $E_{MS} \models E_{SP}$ is not valid.



Syllogistic inferences do not delete or create the bullet symbols.

Example: The syllogism O_{MP} , $E_{MS} \models E_{SP}$ is not valid.



Sac

Example: The syllogism \mathbf{E}_{MP} , $\mathbf{E}_{SM} \models \mathbf{A}_{SP}$ is not valid.

Syllogistic inferences do not delete or create the bullet symbols.

Example: The syllogism O_{MP} , $E_{MS} \models E_{SP}$ is not valid.



Example: The syllogism \mathbf{E}_{MP} , $\mathbf{E}_{SM} \models \mathbf{A}_{SP}$ is not valid.



500

The rules of syllogism

- From two negative premisses nothing can be inferred.
- From two particular premisses nothing can be inferred.
- From a particular first premise and a negative second premise nothing can be inferred.
- If one premise is particular, then the conclusion is such.
- The conclusion of a syllogism is negative if and only if so is one of its premisses.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (0) (0)

From two negative premisses nothing can be inferred.

From two negative premisses nothing can be inferred.

$$S \longrightarrow \overset{\mathbf{E}_{SM}}{\bullet} \longleftarrow M \qquad M \longrightarrow \overset{\mathbf{E}_{MP}}{\bullet} \longleftarrow P$$

<ロ> < 四> < 回> < 三> < 三> < 三> 三 のへで

From two negative premisses nothing can be inferred.



< ロ > < 母 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > シ へ 三 > シ へ 回 > シ へ の

From two negative premisses nothing can be inferred.



- ロ > - 4 目 > - 4 目 > - 4 目 - 9 9 9 9

From two negative premisses nothing can be inferred.



The Square of Opposition



< □ ▶

r 🕨

The laws of the Square of Opposition

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \mathsf{A}_{SP}, \mathsf{I}_{SS} \vDash \mathsf{I}_{SP} \\ \mathsf{E}_{SP}, \mathsf{I}_{SS} \vDash \mathsf{O}_{SP} \end{array} \right\} \text{Subalternation} \\ \mathsf{A}_{SP}, \mathsf{O}_{SP} \vDash \mathsf{O}_{SS} \\ \mathsf{E}_{SP}, \mathsf{I}_{SP} \vDash \mathsf{O}_{SS} \end{array} \right\} \text{Contradiction} \\ \end{array}$$

 $\mathbf{E}_{PP}, \mathbf{A}_{SP} \models \mathbf{E}_{SP}$ Contrariety

 $\mathbf{E}_{PP}, \mathbf{I}_{SP} \models \mathbf{O}_{SP}$ Subcontrariety

< ロ > < 母 > < 言 > < 言 > 三 の < で

The laws of the Square of Opposition

Contradiction:



Subcontrary:



< 口 > < 同 >

- e 🚍

Extending the calculus: *n*-term syllogisms

An *n*-term syllogism is a rule of inference

$$P_1,\ldots,P_{n-1}\vDash C$$

in which P_1, \ldots, P_{n-1}, C are categorical propositions any two contiguous of which have exactly one term in common.

Notation: the appearing terms will be henceforth denoted by T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_n .

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (0) (0)

Recovering the valid *n*-term syllogisms

Theorem

For every positive natural number n, an n-term syllogism is valid if and only if there is a (not necessarily unique) pasting of syllogistic inferences from its premisses to its conclusion.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (0) (0)

Recovering the valid *n*-term syllogisms

Theorem

For every positive natural number n, an n-term syllogism is valid if and only if there is a (not necessarily unique) pasting of syllogistic inferences from its premisses to its conclusion.

Sketch of proof: For every positive natural number n, a syllogistic inference yields a diagram between A_{SP} , E_{SP} , I_{SP} , O_{SP} in exactly the following cases:

 $\begin{array}{l} - T_1 \rightarrow T_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow T_i \rightarrow T_{i+1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow T_{n-1} \rightarrow T_n. \\ - T_1 \rightarrow T_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow T_i \rightarrow \bullet \leftarrow T_{i+1} \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow T_{n-1} \leftarrow T_n, \text{ with } 1 \leq i \leq n-1. \\ - T_1 \leftarrow T_2 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow T_i \leftarrow \bullet \rightarrow T_{i+1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow T_{n-1} \rightarrow T_n, \text{ with } 1 \leq i \leq n-1. \\ - T_1 \leftarrow T_2 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow T_i \leftarrow \bullet \rightarrow T_i \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow T_{n-1} \rightarrow T_n, \text{ with } 1 \leq i \leq n. \\ - T_1 \leftarrow T_2 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow T_i \leftarrow \bullet \rightarrow \bullet \leftarrow T_{i+1} \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow T_{n-1} \leftarrow T_n, \text{ with } 1 \leq i \leq n. \\ - T_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow T_i \leftarrow \bullet \rightarrow \bullet \leftarrow T_{i+1} \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow T_{n-1} \leftarrow T_n, \text{ with } 1 \leq i \leq n. \\ - T_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow T_i \leftarrow \bullet \rightarrow T_{i+1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow T_{j-1} \rightarrow \bullet \leftarrow T_j \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow T_n, \text{ with } 1 \leq i < j \leq n. \end{array}$

Sac



990 < 🗆 🕨



 $\mathbf{A}_{T_5 T_4}, \mathbf{E}_{T_3 T_4}, \mathbf{I}_{T_3 T_2}, \mathbf{A}_{T_2 T_1} \vDash \mathbf{O}_{T_1 T_5}$

< 口 > < 団 > < 三 > < 三 > < 団 > < □ > <

Valid *n*-term syllogisms

syllogism	quantity
$\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{T}_{n-1}\mathcal{T}_n},\ldots,\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{T}_1\mathcal{T}_2} \vDash \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{T}_1\mathcal{T}_n}$	1
$\mathbf{A}_{T_nT_{n-1}},\ldots,\mathbf{E}_{T_iT_{i+1}},\ldots,\mathbf{A}_{T_1T_2} \vDash \mathbf{E}_{T_1T_n}$	n-1
$A_{T_nT_{n-1}},\ldots,E_{T_{i+1}T_i},\ldots,A_{T_1T_2}\vDashE_{T_1T_n}$	n-1
$\mathbf{A}_{T_{n-1}T_n}, \dots, \mathbf{I}_{T_i T_{i+1}}, \dots, \mathbf{A}_{T_2 T_1} \vDash \mathbf{I}_{T_1 T_n}$	n-1
$\mathbf{A}_{T_{n-1}T_n},\ldots,\mathbf{I}_{T_{i+1}T_i},\ldots,\mathbf{A}_{T_2T_1} \vDash \mathbf{I}_{T_1T_n}$	n-1
$\mathbf{A}_{T_{n-1}T_n},\ldots,\mathbf{I}_{T_iT_i},\ldots,\mathbf{A}_{T_2T_1} \vDash \mathbf{I}_{T_1T_n}$	n
$\mathbf{A}_{T_nT_{n-1}},\ldots,\mathbf{O}_{T_iT_{i+1}},\ldots,\mathbf{A}_{T_2T_1} \vDash \mathbf{O}_{T_1T_n}$	n-1
$\mathbf{A}_{T_nT_{n-1}},\ldots,\mathbf{E}_{T_{i-1}T_i},\ldots,\mathbf{I}_{T_iT_{i+1}},\ldots,\mathbf{A}_{T_2T_1}\models\mathbf{O}_{T_1T_n}$	$\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}$
$\mathbf{A}_{T_nT_{n-1}},\ldots,\mathbf{E}_{T_{j-1}T_j},\ldots,\mathbf{I}_{T_{i+1}T_i},\ldots,\mathbf{A}_{T_2T_1}\models\mathbf{O}_{T_1T_n}$	$\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}$
$\mathbf{A}_{T_nT_{n-1}},\ldots,\mathbf{E}_{T_iT_{i-1}},\ldots,\mathbf{I}_{T_iT_{i+1}},\ldots,\mathbf{A}_{T_2T_1} \models \mathbf{O}_{T_1T_n}$	$\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}$
$\mathbf{A}_{T_nT_{n-1}},\ldots,\mathbf{E}_{T_iT_{i-1}},\ldots,\mathbf{I}_{T_{i+1}T_i},\ldots,\mathbf{A}_{T_2T_1} \vDash \mathbf{O}_{T_1T_n}$	$\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}$
$\mathbf{A}_{T_nT_{n-1}},\ldots,\mathbf{E}_{T_{i-1}T_i},\ldots,\mathbf{I}_{T_iT_i},\ldots,\mathbf{A}_{T_2}T_1 \vDash \mathbf{O}_{T_1T_n}$	$\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$
$\mathbf{A}_{T_nT_{n-1}},\ldots,\mathbf{E}_{T_jT_{j-1}},\ldots,\mathbf{I}_{T_iT_i},\ldots,\mathbf{A}_{T_2}T_1 \vDash \mathbf{O}_{T_1T_n}$	$\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$

The valid *n*-term syllogisms are $3n^2 - n$:

Particular cases: n = 1, 2

n = 1: two valid syllogisms, that is the *laws of identity*

$$\mathbf{A}_{T_1T_1} \vDash \mathbf{A}_{T_1T_1} \quad \mathbf{I}_{T_1T_1} \vDash \mathbf{I}_{T_1T_1}$$

n = 2: ten valid syllogisms,

- $\mathbf{A}_{T_1T_2} \models \mathbf{A}_{T_1T_2}, \mathbf{E}_{T_1T_2} \models \mathbf{E}_{T_1T_2}, \mathbf{I}_{T_1T_2} \models \mathbf{I}_{T_1T_2}, \mathbf{O}_{T_1T_2} \models \mathbf{O}_{T_1T_2},$ plus the *laws of subalternation* $\mathbf{A}_{T_1T_2}, \mathbf{I}_{T_1T_1} \models \mathbf{I}_{T_1T_2},$ $\mathbf{E}_{T_1T_2}, \mathbf{I}_{T_1T_1} \models \mathbf{O}_{T_1T_2}.$
- $\mathbf{E}_{T_2T_1} \models \mathbf{E}_{T_1T_2}$, $\mathbf{I}_{T_2T_1} \models \mathbf{I}_{T_1T_2}$ which are the *laws of simple conversion*, and $\mathbf{I}_{T_2T_2}$, $\mathbf{A}_{T_2T_1} \models \mathbf{I}_{T_1T_2}$, $\mathbf{E}_{T_2T_1}$, $\mathbf{I}_{T_1T_1}$, $\models \mathbf{O}_{T_1T_2}$ which are the *laws of conversion per accidens*.

(ロ) (用) (三) (三) (三) (0)

n-graphs

An *n*-graph is a diagram of sets and functions

$$G_0 \xleftarrow{s_0}{t_0} G_1 \xleftarrow{s_1}{t_1} G_2 \cdots G_{n-1} \xleftarrow{s_{n-1}}{t_{n-1}} G_n$$

<ロ> <日> <日> < => < => < => < = > < < のへで

such that $s_k \circ s_{k+1} = s_k \circ t_{k+1}$ and $t_k \circ s_{k+1} = t_k \circ t_{k+1}$.

n-graphs

An *n*-graph is a diagram of sets and functions

$$G_0 \xleftarrow{s_0}{t_0} G_1 \xleftarrow{s_1}{t_1} G_2 \cdots G_{n-1} \xleftarrow{s_{n-1}}{t_{n-1}} G_n$$

such that $s_k \circ s_{k+1} = s_k \circ t_{k+1}$ and $t_k \circ s_{k+1} = t_k \circ t_{k+1}$.

- A 0-graph is just a set.

n-graphs

An *n-graph* is a diagram of sets and functions

$$G_0 \xleftarrow{s_0}{t_0} G_1 \xleftarrow{s_1}{t_1} G_2 \cdots G_{n-1} \xleftarrow{s_{n-1}}{t_{n-1}} G_n$$

such that $s_k \circ s_{k+1} = s_k \circ t_{k+1}$ and $t_k \circ s_{k+1} = t_k \circ t_{k+1}$.

- A 0-graph is just a set.

- A 1-graph is an ordinary multilabelled oriented graph.

Categories

A category is a multilabelled oriented graph $G_0 \xleftarrow{s_0}{t_0} G_1$ equipped with a composition operation on arcs, required to be associative and with neutral element.

(日) < (日) > (1)

Sac

Categories

A category is a multilabelled oriented graph $G_0 \xleftarrow{s_0}{t_0} G_1$ equipped with a composition operation on arcs, required to be associative and with neutral element.

Example:



< 🗆 🕨

Sac

Categories

A category is a multilabelled oriented graph $G_0 \xleftarrow{s_0}{t_0} G_1$ equipped with a composition operation on arcs, required to be associative and with neutral element.

Example:



Examples: sets and functions, each monoid, well formed formulas and logical consequence. . .

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

Jac.

The free category over a graph

Let
$$G = (G_0 \underbrace{\leq s_0 \atop t_0} G_1)$$
 be a graph. A *word* in G is a sequence (f_1, f_2, \cdots, f_k) where $f_i \in G_1$ and $t_0(f_i) = s_0(f_{i+1})$:

$$s_0(f_1) \xrightarrow{f_1} t_0(f_1) = s_0(f_2) \xrightarrow{f_2} t_0(f_2) \cdots s_0(f_k) \xrightarrow{f_k} t_0(f_k)$$

For k = 0, one gets the empty word ().

The free category over a graph

Let
$$G = (G_0 \underbrace{\leq s_0 \atop t_0} G_1)$$
 be a graph. A *word* in *G* is a sequence (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k) where $f_i \in G_1$ and $t_0(f_i) = s_0(f_{i+1})$:

$$s_0(f_1) \xrightarrow{f_1} t_0(f_1) = s_0(f_2) \xrightarrow{f_2} t_0(f_2) \cdots s_0(f_k) \xrightarrow{f_k} t_0(f_k)$$

For k = 0, one gets the empty word ().

The *free category* over *G* is the the graph $G^* = (G_0 \underbrace{\frac{\overline{s_0}}{\overline{t_0}}}_{\overline{t_0}} G_1^*)$

where G_1^* is the set of words in *G* equipped with concatenation as composition, with neutral element given by the empty word:

$$(f_1, f_2, \cdots, f_k)$$
 \sharp $(g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_r) = (f_1, f_2, \cdots, f_k, g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_r)$

 $()\sharp(f_1, f_2, \cdots, f_k) = (f_1, f_2, \cdots, f_k) = (f_1, f_2, \cdots, f_k)\sharp()$

2-polygraphs

A 2-polygraph is a diagram of sets and functions



in which $G^* = (G_0 \underbrace{<}_{\overline{t_0}}^{\overline{s_0}} G_1^*)$ is the free category over $G = (G_0 \underbrace{<}_{t_0}^{\overline{s_0}} G_1)$ and where $G_0 \underbrace{<}_{\overline{s_0}}^{\overline{t_0}} G_1^* \underbrace{<}_{s_1}^{t_1} G_2$ is a 2-graph.

(ロ) (四) (言) (言) (日) シベロ)

Rewriting systems

A rewriting system is a 2-polygraph



< ロ > < 何

5940

in which the set G_0 consists of exactly one element.

Rewriting systems

A rewriting system is a 2-polygraph



in which the set G_0 consists of exactly one element.

- ${\it G}_2$ identifies a subset of ${\it G}_1^* \times {\it G}_1^*,$ namely the set of rewriting rules

$$(f_1, f_2, \cdots, f_k) \vDash (g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_r)$$

• • •

 $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{O}$

Rewriting systems

A rewriting system is a 2-polygraph



in which the set G_0 consists of exactly one element.

- ${\it G}_2$ identifies a subset of ${\it G}_1^* \times {\it G}_1^*,$ namely the set of rewriting rules

$$(f_1, f_2, \cdots, f_k) \vDash (g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_r)$$

< 口 > < 何 >

Remark: the emphasis is on the directed nature of \models .

The 2-polygraph of *n*-term syllogisms

For every positive natural number *n*:

$$\begin{array}{l} - \ G_{0} = \{ T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n} \}. \\ - \ G_{1} = \{ \mathbf{A}_{T_{i}T_{j}}, \mathbf{E}_{T_{i}T_{j}}, \mathbf{I}_{T_{i}T_{j}}, \mathbf{O}_{T_{i}T_{j}} \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n \} \\ - \ G_{2}: \\ (\mathbf{A}_{T_{i}T_{i}}) \models (\mathbf{A}_{T_{i}T_{i}}) \qquad (\mathbf{I}_{T_{i}T_{j}}) \models (\mathbf{I}_{T_{i}T_{i}}) \qquad 1 \leq i < j \leq n \\ (\mathbf{A}_{T_{i}T_{j}}) \models (\mathbf{A}_{T_{i}T_{j}}) \qquad (\mathbf{I}_{T_{i}T_{j}}) \models (\mathbf{I}_{T_{i}T_{j}}) \qquad 1 \leq i < j \leq n \\ (\mathbf{A}_{T_{i}T_{j}}) \models (\mathbf{E}_{T_{i}T_{j}}) \qquad (\mathbf{I}_{T_{i}T_{j}}) \models (\mathbf{I}_{T_{i}T_{j}}) \qquad 1 \leq i < j \leq n \\ (\mathbf{A}_{T_{i}T_{j}}) \ddagger (\mathbf{E}_{T_{i}T_{j}}) \qquad (\mathbf{I}_{T_{i}T_{j}}) \models (\mathbf{O}_{T_{i}T_{j}}) \qquad 1 \leq i < j \leq n \\ (\mathbf{A}_{T_{i}T_{j}}) \ddagger (\mathbf{I}_{T_{i}T_{i}}) \models \mathbf{I}_{T_{i}T_{j}} \qquad (\mathbf{E}_{T_{i}T_{j}}) \ddagger (\mathbf{I}_{T_{i}T_{i}}) \models \mathbf{O}_{T_{i}T_{j}} \qquad 1 \leq i < j \leq n \\ (\mathbf{E}_{T_{j}T_{i}}) \ddagger (\mathbf{A}_{T_{j}T_{i}}) \triangleq (\mathbf{I}_{T_{i}T_{j}}) \qquad (\mathbf{I}_{T_{j}T_{i}}) \triangleq (\mathbf{I}_{T_{i}T_{j}}) \qquad 1 \leq i < j \leq n \\ (\mathbf{E}_{T_{j}T_{i}}) \ddagger (\mathbf{A}_{T_{i}T_{j}}) \models (\mathbf{I}_{T_{i}T_{i}}) \qquad (\mathbf{E}_{T_{j}T_{i}}) \ddagger (\mathbf{I}_{T_{i}T_{i}}) \models (\mathbf{O}_{T_{i}T_{j}}) \qquad 1 \leq i < j \leq k \leq n \\ (\mathbf{A}_{T_{j}T_{k}}) \ddagger (\mathbf{A}_{T_{i}T_{j}}) \models (\mathbf{I}_{T_{i}T_{k}}) \qquad (\mathbf{E}_{T_{j}T_{k}}) \ddagger (\mathbf{I}_{T_{i}T_{j}}) \models (\mathbf{O}_{T_{i}T_{k}}) \qquad 1 \leq i < j < k \leq n \\ (\mathbf{E}_{T_{j}T_{k}}) \ddagger (\mathbf{A}_{T_{i}T_{j}}) \models (\mathbf{I}_{T_{i}T_{k}}) \qquad (\mathbf{E}_{T_{j}T_{k}}) \ddagger (\mathbf{I}_{T_{i}T_{j}}) \models (\mathbf{O}_{T_{i}T_{k}}) \qquad 1 \leq i < j < k \leq n \\ (\mathbf{E}_{T_{j}T_{k}}) \ddagger (\mathbf{I}_{T_{i}T_{j}}) \models (\mathbf{O}_{T_{i}T_{k}}) \qquad (\mathbf{E}_{T_{j}T_{k}}) \ddagger (\mathbf{O}_{T_{i}T_{k}}) \qquad 1 \leq i < j < k \leq n \\ (\mathbf{E}_{T_{i}T_{k}}) \ddagger (\mathbf{I}_{T_{i}T_{j}}) \models (\mathbf{O}_{T_{i}T_{k}}) \qquad (\mathbf{A}_{T_{k}T_{j}}) \triangleq (\mathbf{O}_{T_{i}T_{k}}) \qquad 1 \leq i < j < k \leq n \\ (\mathbf{E}_{T_{i}T_{k}}) \ddagger (\mathbf{A}_{T_{i}T_{i}}) \triangleq (\mathbf{O}_{T_{i}T_{k}}) \qquad (\mathbf{A}_{T_{k}T_{j}}) \triangleq (\mathbf{O}_{T_{i}T_{k}}) \qquad 1 \leq i < j < k \leq n \\ (\mathbf{I}_{T_{j}T_{k}}) \ddagger (\mathbf{A}_{T_{j}T_{i}}) \triangleq (\mathbf{O}_{T_{i}T_{k}}) \qquad (\mathbf{A}_{T_{k}T_{j}}) \triangleq (\mathbf{O}_{T_{i}T_{k}}) \qquad 1 \leq i < j < k \leq n \\ (\mathbf{I}_{T_{j}T_{k}}) \ddagger (\mathbf{I}_{T_{j}T_{i}}) \triangleq (\mathbf{O}_{T_{i}T_{k}}) \qquad (\mathbf{A}_{T_{j}T_{i}}) \triangleq (\mathbf{O}_{T_{i}T_{k}}) \qquad 1 \leq i < j < k \leq n \\ (\mathbf{I}_{T_{j}T_{k}}) \ddagger (\mathbf{I}_{T_{j}T_{i}}) \triangleq (\mathbf{I}_{T_{j}T_{i}}) \triangleq (\mathbf{O}_{T_{i}T_{k}}) \qquad ($$

Theorem

For every positive natural number n, the rewriting system for the calculus of n-term syllogisms is complete.

Theorem

For every positive natural number n, the rewriting system for the calculus of n-term syllogisms is complete.

Sketch of proof: It must be observed that the length of the words that undergo rewriting strictly decreases. Then, the proof proceeds by cases depending on n.

- n = 2: the test is on the two possible rewritings of the word $(\mathbf{E}_{A_jA_j})^{\circ} \sharp (\mathbf{I}_{A_jA_j}).$
- n = 3: the test is on the possible rewritings of the words

$$\begin{array}{lll} (\mathbf{E}_{A_k A_j})^{\circ} \sharp (\mathbf{A}_{A_i A_j}) & (\mathbf{A}_{A_k A_j})^{\circ} \sharp (\mathbf{E}_{A_j A_i})^{\circ} \\ (\mathbf{E}_{A_k A_j})^{\circ} \sharp (\mathbf{I}_{A_j A_j}) & (\mathbf{E}_{A_j A_k}) \sharp (\mathbf{I}_{A_j A_i})^{\circ} \\ (\mathbf{A}_{A_j A_k}) \sharp (\mathbf{I}_{A_j A_i})^{\circ} & (\mathbf{E}_{A_j A_k})^{\circ} \sharp (\mathbf{I}_{A_j A_i})^{\circ} \\ (\mathbf{I}_{A_k A_j})^{\circ} \sharp (\mathbf{A}_{A_j A_i})^{\circ} & (\mathbf{E}_{A_j A_i})^{\circ} \sharp (\mathbf{I}_{A_i A_i}) \\ (\mathbf{A}_{A_j A_k}) \sharp (\mathbf{A}_{A_i A_j}) \sharp (\mathbf{I}_{A_i A_i}) & (\mathbf{E}_{A_j A_k})^{\circ} \sharp (\mathbf{I}_{A_i A_i}) \\ (\mathbf{A}_{A_k A_j})^{\circ} \sharp (\mathbf{E}_{A_i A_j}) \sharp (\mathbf{I}_{A_i A_i}) & (\mathbf{E}_{A_j A_k}) \sharp (\mathbf{I}_{A_i A_i}) \\ (\mathbf{A}_{A_k A_j})^{\circ} \sharp (\mathbf{E}_{A_i A_j}) \sharp (\mathbf{I}_{A_i A_i}) & (\mathbf{E}_{A_k A_j})^{\circ} \sharp (\mathbf{E}_{A_i A_j}) \sharp (\mathbf{I}_{A_i A_i}) \\ (\mathbf{E}_{A_j A_k}) \sharp (\mathbf{I}_{A_j A_j}) \sharp (\mathbf{A}_{A_j A_i})^{\circ} & (\mathbf{A}_{A_k A_j})^{\circ} \sharp (\mathbf{E}_{A_j A_i})^{\circ} \sharp (\mathbf{I}_{A_i A_i}) \\ (\mathbf{E}_{A_k A_j})^{\circ} \sharp (\mathbf{I}_{A_j A_j}) \sharp (\mathbf{A}_{A_j A_i})^{\circ} & (\mathbf{A}_{A_j A_k}) \sharp (\mathbf{I}_{A_j A_j}) \sharp (\mathbf{A}_{A_j A_i})^{\circ} \\ (\mathbf{E}_{A_k A_j})^{\circ} \sharp (\mathbf{I}_{A_j A_j}) \sharp (\mathbf{A}_{A_j A_i})^{\circ} & (\mathbf{A}_{A_j A_k}) \sharp (\mathbf{I}_{A_j A_j}) \sharp (\mathbf{A}_{A_j A_i})^{\circ} \\ \end{array}$$

(ロ) (月) (三) (三) (三) (0) (0)